

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/646,514	NEUBAUER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Paul Durand	3721

**All Participants:**

(1) Paul Durand.

**Status of Application:** Appeal brief filed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Greg Stanton.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 January 2006

**Time:** 1430

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

48-52

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**


It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Stephanie M. Gerity  
Primary Examiner*

*Paul Durand* 1/19/06  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that in view of the appeal brief, examiner would allow claims 53-57, but would maintain rejection on claims 48-52 in view of the cited art. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 48-52 to place application in condition for allowance, with right to file continuation based on cancelled claims. .



Stephen F. Gerrity  
Primary Examiner